





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/974,973	10/12/2001	Paul D. Hanke	1533.1230001/MAC/RGM	8115
28393 75	590 02/14/2003			
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW SUITE 600		SLOBODYANSKY, ELIZABETH		
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	i4
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2003	• •

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/974,973	HANKE, PAUL D.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Elizabeth Slobodyansky	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 101	<u>May 2002</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/974,973 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed May 10, 2002 amending the specification to correct discrepancies in the sequences, inserting the Sequence Listing and replacing Figure 2 with a substitute figure has been entered.

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 12, 13, 19, 20 (all in part) and 3, drawn to a DNA encoding a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 and fragments thereof, a vector and a host cell comprising thereof and a method of making a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 463.
- II. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 12, 13, 19, 20 (all in part) and 4, drawn to a DNA encoding a polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:4 and fragments thereof, a vector and a host cell comprising thereof and a method of making a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 463.
- III. Claims 9-11 and 14-16 (all in part), drawn to methods of producing an amino acid, classified in class 435, subclass 106.
- IV. Claims 17 and 18 (both in part), drawn to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 fragments thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 183.

Art Unit: 1652

V. Claims 17 and 18 (both in part), drawn to a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 4 and fragments thereof, classified in class 435, subclass 183.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I, II) and (IV, V) are patentably distinct because a DNA and a polypeptide are different compounds each with its own chemical structure and function, and they have different utilities. DNA molecules of inventions I and II can be used for the production of encoded polypeptides and as hybridization probes. Polypeptides of inventions IV and V can be obtained by a materially different method such as by the biochemical purification or chemical synthesis.

Inventions (I and II) and (IV and V) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, represent structurally different polynucleotides and polypeptides. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as for hybridization or expression, the different sequences have different effects.

Invention III is patentably distinct from inventions I, II, IV and V because it drawn to methods of making of a product, an amino acid, that is neither used nor produced by

inventions I, II, IV and V. Further, amino acids can be produced not only by methods of invention III but also by chemical synthesis, for example.

Page 4

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, fall into different statutory classes of invention, and are separately classified and searched, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Michele Cimbala on February 12, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Slobodyansky whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1652

(703) 306-3222. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703) 308-3804. The FAX phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Elizabeth Slobodyansky, PhD

Primary Examiner

February 12, 2003